Study Review Committee Meeting Summary

January 27, 2022

The meeting was called to order at 5:00 p.m. Attendance:

Voting Members: Kevin Jeffries, Greg King, Bob Klingenfus, Sam Finney & Katie Nasser. Non-Voting Members: Mel Milburn, Bob Jones, Walt Schumm, Eric Senn, Bob Vinsand, Clay Jones, Stephanie Hawkins, Justin Ray, Joe Marshall, Dan Joss & Jay Hall. Staff: Jim Urban, Amy Alvey, Thomas McIntyre, Jim Silliman & Derek Schade.

Approval of Minutes:

Motion made by Member Nasser and seconded by Member Finney to approve the meeting summary of the November 18, 2021 meeting. Motion carried.

Oldham County Design Standards and Plan Content & Design Guidance:

Jim Silliman distributed and reviewed the Oldham County Design Standards and Plan Content & Design Guidance Checklist. Mr. Silliman explained why these items have come before the Study Review Committee with history dating back to 2018. In addition, other Oldham County Engineering processes were discussed such as Plan Review Timelines, Record Plat Review Processes, Bonding and Bond Release processes, etc. Based on the September 17, 2021 SRC meeting the three most pressing concerns were the processes used to develop and implement the Oldham County Plan Review Guidance and specific road design standards: 1.) Vertical curve design standards for road crests and sags (k-value) & 2.) Gutter spread design standards.

Mr. Silliman started by discussing the Plan Review Guidance and what happens before and after a development/subdivision goes before the Planning Commission. Items discussed in detail were Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control (EPSC), Vertical and Horizontal Curves, Storm Sewer Design & Detention Basin Design. Mr. Silliman then presented information regarding specific road design standards. Vertical Curves (K-Value) and Gutter Spread were discussed in depth and discussion followed.

Mr. Silliman then went on to explain the Plan Content & Design Guidance checklist. He stated this checklist had been downsized from 37 to 19 pages, all of which are necessary to complete a full review. Discussion followed.

Mr. Silliman asked the attendees to provide feedback via email within next two-weeks in order to incorporate the comments into the next SRC meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:46 p.m.