

**MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING
OLDHAM COUNTY
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS AND APPEALS
Thursday, March 16, 2023**

At 9:00 a.m. local time on the above date, this meeting of the Oldham County Board of Adjustments and Appeals, hereinafter called the Board, was called to order in the Courtroom of the Oldham County Fiscal Court, LaGrange, Kentucky, by Chairman Larry Otterback.

The following members were present:

Gretchen Chitwood

Bill Ferko

Charles Turner

Member absent: Charlie Ward

Others present and sworn in were Planning and Development Services Director Jim Urban, Assistant Director Brian Fogle and Senior Planner Ryan Fischer. County Attorney Travis Combs was present for the meeting. Christy Edgar was the Secretary for the meeting.

.....

Board Member Chitwood called and read Docket OC-23-008:

An application has been filed by Highpointe Training, LLC for a Conditional Use Permit for a Recreational Campground (6 campsites) on 151.16 acres located at 4600 Stone River Drive, LaGrange.

1. Presentation by Staff:

Assistant Director Brian Fogle presented the following:

- Summary of the application. (see Exhibit A, Staff Report dated, March 16, 2023)
- Case History.
- Aerial photos of the site.
- Photos of property.
- One letter in support of the application.

2. Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:

Kyle Galloway, Duncan Galloway Egan Greenwald PLLC, 9625 Ormsby Station Road, Louisville, was present and representing Highpointe Training, LLC.

- Six cabins will be used by the farming community, owners, trainers, and third-party visitors.
- Five cabins are one-bedroom, one-bath and 476 square feet.
- One cabin is two-bedroom, one-bath and 560 square feet.
- Cabins will be permanent structures on raised foundation.
- Cabins will not be in view of corridor.
- Cabins will be built 1500 feet from Bluegrass Pkwy property line.

Don Schneider, 734 Waterford Road, Louisville, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Purchased the farm 14 months ago and have been making major repairs to the track, fence, and bunks that are in the barns.
- Cabins would be a great place for trainers and for the owners to stay.

Trish Henrion, 1309 Park Shore Road, LaGrange, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Concerned with the quality of the cabins.
- Concerned about the rules being enforced.
- Likes the fact that the cabins will not be visible.
- Submitted a letter of support.

3. Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:

Board Member Ferko asked why the cabins do not have upgraded finishes in the bathroom and kitchen?

Mr. Schneider replied, the finishes are still in the works. We mostly focused on the size and exterior of the cabins.

Chairman Otterback asked, are the cabins on the property now?

Mr. Schneider replied, no. The pictures in the presentation are the rendering of where the cabins will be placed on the farm.

Board Member Chitwood asked, will there be any third-party rental advertising on the cabins?

Mr. Schneider replied, yes. However, the guest will not be allowed on the trails or be around any of the barns or horses.

Board Member Turner asked, just want to clarify whether these cabins will be for rent?

Mr. Schneider replied, yes. They will be available for trainers, owners, and third-party renters.

Chairman Otterback asked, what is the density?

Attorney Galloway replied, per the HOA it is one cabin per 25 acres.

Chairman Otterback asked, what are the regulations on Ag. Use for farm workers?

Assistant Director Fogle replied, this request is not for farm workers.

Chairman Otterback asked, is there anything else that can be used besides campground?

Director Urban replied, recreational camp is the only category this will fit in.

Chairman Otterback asked, what about under a working farm?

Director Urban replied, because this is not for farm workers it is for trainers, owners and others to rent out.

4. Testimony of the Opposition:

Robin Vessels, 1320 Bluegrass Pkwy, LaGrange, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Are the cabins pre-built or built on-site?
- Read zoning ordinances and this doesn't fit county regs.
- For-profit rental of the cabins is for commercial use.

Phillip Grambel, 153 Kelly Drive, Pendleton, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- The cabins do not reach the requirements for this district.
- These cabins are going to be rented so there are short-term rentals.

Robert Vessels, 1320 Bluegrass Pkwy, LaGrange, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Worried that others will apply for this conditional use permit if this one is approved.
- Worried that this will become a weekend getaway retreat.

Motion was made by Board Member Turner and seconded by Board Member Chitwood to extend the testimony for opposition five minutes. Motion passed by voice vote.

Monique Hurst, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- What entrance and exit will the guests use?
- Concerned for the safety of the non-horse guests that rent the cabins.
- Will there be fire hydrants?
- What kind of foundations will the cabins have?
- How are the cabins being delivered?

5. Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None

6. Rebuttal Statement by the Applicant:

Attorney Galloway explained,

- The farm is a commercial operation, it rents out horse stalls.
- The quality of the cabins is good.
- There is a need for these cabins.

Mr. Schneider explained,

- Cabins will be partially built off-site.
- Cabins will set on concrete foundations.
- This type of structure will be appreciating assets.
- The only entrance that will be used is on Stone River Drive.

- The expected third-party users will have an equine experience.

Board Member Turner asked, what guarantee will keep these rental people off the trails.

Mr. Schneider replied, that the trails are located on the opposite side of the farm and there will be security onsite.

Board Member Ferko asked, can you give assurance from a statutory perspective that this board has the authority to make this decision?

Director Urban replied, read the regulations for camp and campground. Feels very strongly that this board does have the authority to hear and make a decision on this case.

7. Rebuttal Statement by the Opposition:

Robin Vessels explained,

- Read regulations from recreational parks and this is not the same.

Phillip Grambel explained,

- Minimum square footage of residence in L'Esprit is 2400 square foot per L'Esprits HOA.
- How can this board approve a campground if the owners state it is not a campground?

8. Final Statement by the Applicant:

Attorney Galloway final statement, the concern about the word campground, this is not going to be a place where boy scouts can camp. We feel that the request is appropriate, and the impact is minimal and feel that this will be a benefit to the community and the site.

9. Board Discussion and Final Decision:

Attorney Combs explained, this board does not enforce the rules and regulations of the HOA. The HOA can enforce its rules and regulations.

Chairman Otterback explained, some of the conditions of approval can be no firearms, no fires and no horses on the trails.

**Findings and Decisions
Docket OC-23-008
Conditional Use Permit
Recreational Camp
4600 Stone River Drive**

Motion was made by Board Member Chitwood and seconded by Board Member Turner to approve the Conditional Use Permit for a recreational camp of six cabins at 4600 Stone River Drive, LaGrange, because the proposed use is essential and desirable to the community and not in conflict with the elements, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, based on the character and objectives of the proposed use and the potential impacts on the community and its surroundings are appropriate, and there are adequate public facilities available, such as transportation, sanitation, water, sewer, drainage, emergency services, education, and recreation.

Conditions of Approval

1. The Conditional Use Permit shall only apply to the application considered at the March 16, 2023, Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearings.
2. No use of firearms.
3. No use of the L'Esprit bridle trails.
4. No campfires.

The vote was as follows:

Yes: Board Members Chitwood, Ferko, Turner and Chairman Otterback.

No: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Board Member Ward.

Motion passed on a vote of 4-0

Board Member Chitwood called and read Docket OC-23-011:

An application has been filed for a Conditional Use Permit for a mini-warehouse/self-storage facility on a property located at 6007 Claymont Village Drive, Crestwood.

1. Presentation by Staff:

Senior Planner Ryan Fischer presented the following:

- Summary of the application. (see Exhibit A, Staff Report dated, March 16, 2023)
- Case History.
- Aerial photos of the site.
- Photos of property.

2. Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:

Cliff Ashburner, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP, 101 S. 5th Street, Louisville, was present to represent Perkins Claymont Storage.

- The property is zoned C-3.
- The design of the structure will look similar to a suburban office building.

- Class A, climate controlled storage.
- Units are 10'x10' and 10'x20'.

Dan Perkins, 6244 Old LaGrange Road, Crestwood, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Due to the grade of the lot, the front of the building will be one story above grade and the back of the building will be three stories.
- The only entrance will be a sliding/parting front door.
- Security cameras will be installed inside and outside the building.

3. Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:

Board Member Turner asked, will there be room for semi-trucks to come in and out? Attorney Ashburner replied, yes. However, a moving semi-truck will be a rare occasion because a client would have to rent multiple units to fit the items from the truck.

Chairman Otterback asked, are there any other climate control storage facilities in the area?

Attorney Ashburner replied, not many.

Chairman Otterback asked, how many storage bays?

Attorney Ashburner replied, 300 units.

Chairman Otterback asked, any traffic condition problems?

Attorney Ashburner replied, no.

Mr. Perkins answered, normally people will pull up unload their vehicles and go to the elevator and take items to their unit then exit the building.

Board Member Ferko asked, who pays for the relocating of the sewer lines and stormwater?

Mr. Perkins answered, the developer will pay.

Board Member Chitwood asked, why only 16 parking spots?

Attorney Ashburner answered, how these facilities operate in other markets and this facility does not need any more parking spaces.

Chairman Otterback asked, can you explain the signage and lighting around the facility?

Mr. Perkins answered, all the lighting will be LED and a flat type which can be adjusted downward. There will be two signs on the building, one on the front and one on the back facing the bypass.

- 4. Testimony of the Opposition: None**
- 5. Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None**
- 6. Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None**
- 7. Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition: None**

8. Board Discussion and Final Decision:

Board Member Chitwood explained that since the land has been setting vacant for so many years due to the limitations of the property then this would be an appropriate use of the existing property.

**Findings and Decisions
Docket OC-23-011
Conditional Use Permit
Mini Warehouse/Self-Storage Facility
6007 Claymont Village Drive**

Motion was made by Board Member Chitwood and seconded by Board Member Turner to approve the Conditional Use Permit for the mini warehouse / self-storage facility at 6007 Claymont Village Drive based upon the testimony the community or neighborhood is under served and there is a need for the proposed use, there are potential benefits to the community or neighborhood and it is compatible with surrounding land uses and the general character of the area, including such factors as: height, bulk, scale, intensity, traffic, noise, order, drainage, dust, lighting, or appearance and the application does not represent an unreasonable circumvention of the elements of the Comprehensive Plan.

Conditions of Approval

1. The conditional use permit shall only apply to the plan considered at the March 16, 2023, Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearing.
2. Conditional Use Permit shall be subject to the waivers being requested at the March 28, 2023 Planning Commission Hearing (PZ-23-006).

The vote was as follows:

Yes: Board Members Chitwood, Ferko, Turner and Chairman Otterback.

No: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Board Member Ward.

Motion passed on a vote of 4-0

.....

Board Member Chitwood called and read Docket OC-23-012:

An application has been filed requesting a Road Frontage Variance for a tract located at 4707 Deep Woods Place, LaGrange.

1. Presentation by Staff:

Assistant Director Brian Fogle presented the following:

- Summary of the application. (see Exhibit A, Staff Report dated, March 16, 2023)
- Case History.
- Aerial photos of the site.
- Photos of property.

Board Member Ferko asked, how would the lot have a zero road frontage? If the lot gets developed then how would you access and put utilities into the zero road frontage lot?

Assistant Director Fogle answered, there is a joint access easement and that's where our subdivision regulations capped that joint access at three lots only. Board Member Ferko replied, my concern is that approving a zero frontage variance could cause a problem in the future.

Chairman Otterback asked, where is the access easement right now?

Assistant Director Fogle answered it is on the western side of the property. Chairman Otterback asked, does it serve tract 4? Assistant Director Fogle answered, it is listed in one of the potential conditions of approval would be the access easement would be re-recorded that it does not access tract 4 because the merge with tract 1 which has road frontage from Cedar Point Road.

Attorney Combs asked, is there a current road maintenance agreement in place?

Assistant Director Fogle replied, the applicants will need to answer that question. Attorney Combs explained that the road maintenance agreement is a requirement for this type of proposal. How will the two tracts be joined together when they are owned by two separate property owners?

Assistant Director Fogle replied, the applicants will need to answer this question as well.

2. Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:

Laurie-Beth Mudd, 4001 Old Hwy 53, LaGrange, was present and sworn in prior to presenting:

- Divide the tract into two 5-acre tracts to sell.

3. Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:

Chairman Otterback asked, how will you consolidate the two tracts when they are owned by different owners?

Mrs. Mudd answered, all the property is family owned. The tract 4 is owned by a brother and he will deed the tract back to our father.

Chairman Otterback asked, are there homes on these properties?

Mrs. Mudd answered, yes there is one home on the largest tract and there is one home on the 4710 Deep Woods Court.

Attorney Combs explained, this will need a road maintenance agreement.

Chairman Otterback asked, are there a current maintenance agreement?

Mrs. Mudd answered, no.

Attorney Combs explained, the staff will require a road maintenance agreement to be in place.

Mrs. Mudd replied yes we agree to this.

Board Member Ferko asked, what is the reason for reducing the road frontage to zero road frontage?

Bob Vinsand, Vinsand Engineering & Land Surveying, Inc., 1000 Cherrywood Place, LaGrange, was present and sworn in prior to replying that they are not reducing the request. The request is for a variance. We have zero road frontage because of the access easement. Each tract is required to have 300' of road frontage in order to comply with the current regulations. Asking for the ability to create the tracts with zero feet of road frontage.

Chairman Otterback asked, what road is tract 3 serviced by?

Mr. Vinsand explained, Deep Woods Place terminates at tract 3. We need a 270' foot variance on tract 3 and a 300' variance on tract 2.

Board Member Ferko asked, is it possible for us to require frontage on the drive that is currently a private drive?

Director Urban explained, variances are created because they don't have frontage on a public street. We do allow up to three tracts on a private drive which is what they are proposing. Without creating a public street they are asking for a variance.

Board Member Ferko asked, so since it is a private drive we cannot require a frontage?

Director Urban answered, that is correct.

Board Member Chitwood asked, is the intent to subdivide the tracts to sell them and would a road maintenance agreement be made prior to the sale of the property?

Director Urban answered, if this is approved then that would be done when they submit a minor plat application which would include a consolidation and that is when we would require a road maintenance agreement.

4. Testimony of the Opposition:

Scott Elwell, 3000 Heather Green, LaGrange, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Concerned with increase of traffic.
- Concerned about the five acre tracts being subdivided into one-acre tracts.

5. Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None

6. Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None

7. Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition:

Mr. Elwell final statement, that the letter he received did not clarify what a variance was nor did it explain much about this proposal and believes that if the letter that went to the other adjoining homeowners explained this proposal then neighbors

would have attended this board hearing. And if the neighbors knew that this could be a potential subdivision then they would be opposed to this as well.

8. Board Discussion and Final Decision:

Board Member Chitwood asked, is there road frontage from Cedar Point Road to tracts 4 and 1 after combining the two tracts?

Assistant Director Fogle answered, yes and if anyone wants to divide the tracts out to more than three parcels gaining access off the shared drive then it would go to a higher level process of a major subdivision.

Board Member Chitwood asked, so to clarify then if it was divided up into a major subdivision then the access would be from Cedar Point Road.

Assistant Director Fogle answered, yes there is road frontage from Cedar Point Road.

Director Urban explained, essentially there could not be any additional development of any of these lots.

Findings and Decisions Docket OC-23-012 Variance Road Frontage 4707 Deep Woods Place

First Proposed 5.20 Acre Parcel (Tract Two on Survey Plat)

Required Minimum Road Frontage	300 Feet
Proposed Road Frontage	0 Feet
Variance Requested	300 Feet

Second Proposed 5.00 Acre Parcel (Tract Three on Survey Plat)

Required Minimum Road Frontage	300 Feet
Proposed Road Frontage	30 Feet
Variance Requested	270 Feet

Motion was made by Board Member Chitwood and seconded by Board Member Turner to approve the Variance for road frontage at 4707 Deep Woods Place, because it will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare, will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity, will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public, and will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations because it doesn't impact any of the surrounding properties with and significance.

Conditions of Approval:

1. The variance shall only apply to the application considered at the March 16, 2023, Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearing.
2. The recorded access easement shall only provide access to the existing property located at 4710 Deeps Woods Place, the proposed Tract 2, and the proposed Tract 3 in conformance with the requirement that no more than three parcels may take access from a shared driveway. The proposed Tract 4 would not have access to the recorded access easement and will be consolidated with the existing Tract 1.
3. A minor subdivision plat shall be submitted indicating the proposed Tract 4 does not have access to the recorded access easement to prevent more than three parcels having access from the shared driveway.

The vote was as follows:

Yes: Board Members Chitwood, Ferko, Turner, and Chairman Otterback.

No: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Board Member Ward.

Motion passed on a vote of 4-0
.....

Board Member Chitwood called and read Docket OC-23-013:

An application has been filed requesting a Location Variance for a proposed accessory structure (pool house) located at 10811 Sun Ridge Road, Goshen.

1. Presentation by Staff:

Senior Planner Ryan Fischer presented the following:

- Summary of the application. (see Exhibit A, Staff Report dated, March 16, 2023)
- Case History.
- Aerial photos of the site.
- Photos of property.
- Received one email from an adjoining property owner that lives closest to the pool.

Board Member Turner asked, why was the pool house placed there before coming to this board?

Senior Planner Ryan Fischer replied, owner applied for building permit and permit clerk noticed that this needed to come to the board because of the placement. The pool house was delivered before this hearing took place. The applicant is aware that if this gets denied that they will have to have the pool house moved.

2. Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:

Amy Everett, 10811 Sun Ridge Road, LaGrange, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- The intent for the pool house is to store the pool equipment and the chemicals.

- Due to the topography of the property this is the only place to put the pool house.
- There is a huge berm that runs along one side of the pool and on the other side is tree line and do not want to remove the trees.

3. Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:

Board Member Ferko asked, what is the purpose of the berm?

Mrs. Everett replied, it was there when we moved into the home. It also provides privacy around the pool.

Chairman Otterback asked, why couldn't this pool house be placed somewhere else and still fit within the regulations?

Mrs. Everett replied, as you face the pool on the right side the septic is there. And the other spot the building would not fit because of the berm.

Board Member Ferko asked, is this board setting a precedent by approving things like this or does each case considered individually?

Director Urban answered, each one is separate consideration and based on evidence that you hear. This case has topography considerations, removal of trees, berm already there, and septic that is already there.

- 4. Testimony of the Opposition: None**
- 5. Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None**
- 6. Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None**
- 7. Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition: None**
- 8. Board Discussion and Final Decision:**

Findings and Decisions
Docket OC-23-013
Location Variance
Accessory Structure/Pool House
10811 Sun Ridge Road, Goshen

Motion was made by Board Member Chitwood and seconded by Board Member Turner to approve the Location Variance of a detached accessory structure/pool house at 10811 Sun Ridge Road, because it will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare, will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity, will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public, and will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations because the denial of the application will cause an undue burden due to the septic fields and the topography and inhibiting the placement of the pool house anywhere else on the property and there are no objections from the of the adjacent property owners.

Conditions of Approval:

1. The variance shall only apply to the application considered at the March 16, 2023, Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearing.
2. There shall be no living space in the accessory structure/pool house.

The vote was as follows:

Yes: Board Members Chitwood, Ferko, Turner, and Chairman Otterback.

No: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Board Member Ward.

Motion passed on a vote of 4-0
.....

Board Member Chitwood called and read Docket OC-23-014:

An application has been filed requesting front yard setback variances for an existing parking area, an existing building, and proposed buildings on a property located at 2221 N. Hwy. 53, LaGrange.

1. Presentation by Staff:

Assistant Director Brian Fogle presented the following:

- Summary of the application. (see Exhibit A, Staff Report dated, March 16, 2023)
- Case History.
- Aerial photos of the site.
- Photos of property.

Chairman Otterback asked, does this parking lot abut Hwy 53?

Assistant Director Fogle replied, it abuts the right of way of Hwy 53, not the pavement of Hwy 53.

Board Member Ferko asked, why are the setback rules the way they are?

Director Urban replied, it was recommended through the Study Committee, Planning Commission, and to the legislative body to change the industrial setbacks from 100' to 50' however the fiscal court kicked it back to us because they knew that this board can make the decision on the setback variances.

Board Member Ferko asked, why the zero setback on the parking lot?

Assistant Director Fogle replied, in the 80's there were no setback requirements.

2. Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:

Phil Gambrell, Prism Engineering & Design Group, LLC, 2309 Watterson Trail, Louisville, was present and was sworn in prior to presenting.

- The proposed building will be four-unit buildings connected.
- The proposed building will be 57' long, 16' height with 12' doors.
- The buildings will be fully closed and secured.
- Will see back of the buildings from Hwy 53.
- There will be two detention basins for stormwater runoff.

3. Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:

Chairman Otterback asked, how long is the building that is requiring the variance?
Mr. Gambrell replied, the total building length will be 114'.

Board Member Ferko asked, if Hwy 53 becomes a four-lane road with a shoulder then what will happen with this parking lot?

Director Urban answered, typically they buy property off either side and right now there are no plans to widen Hwy 53.

Board Member Ferko asked, do you think they will ever change the KY Standards to have shoulders on the roads?

Director Urban replied, maybe, but this location is pretty straight. Hwy 53 is very straight. Shoulder improvements would be in the existing right-of-way.

4. Testimony of the Opposition: None

5. Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None

6. Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None

7. Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition: None

8. Board Discussion and Final Decision: None

Findings and Decisions

Docket OC-23-014

Setback Variances

Existing Parking Lot Front Yard Setback

Existing Building Front & Side Yard Setbacks

Proposed Building Front Yard Setback

2221 N. Hwy 53, LaGrange

Existing Parking Lot

Required Front Yard Setback	35 Feet
Proposed Front Yard Setback	00 Feet
Variance Requested	35 Feet

Existing Building

Required Front Yard Setback	100 Feet
Proposed Front Yard Setback	47 Feet
Variance Requested	53 Feet

Required Side Yard Setback	35 Feet
Proposed Side Yard Setback	32 Feet
Variance Requested	3 Feet

Motion was made by Board Member Chitwood and seconded by Board Member Turner to approve the front yard setback on an existing parking lot and to approve the front yard and side yard setbacks on an existing building at 2221 North Highway 53, Lagrange, because the existence of those structures have been there for a long time

and has not impacted the community in a negative way and making changes would be unreasonable demand on the property owner and the variances will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare, will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity, will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public, and will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations.

Conditions of Approval

1. The Variance shall only apply to the application considered at the March 16, 2023, Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearings.

The vote was as follows:

Yes: Board Members Chitwood, Ferko, Turner and Chairman Otterback.

No: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Board Member Ward.

Motion passed on a vote of 4-0

Proposed Building

Required Front Yard Setback	100 Feet
Proposed Front Yard Setback	56 Feet
Variance Requested	44 Feet

Motion was made by Board Member Chitwood and seconded by Board Member Ferko to approve the proposed buildings front yard setbacks at 2221 North Highway 53, Lagrange, because the variances will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare, will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity, will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public, and will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations because the alignments of the proposed structures are in with existing buildings and the character and objectives of the proposed use and the potential impacts on the community and its surroundings are appropriate.

Conditions of Approval

1. The Variance shall only apply to the application considered at the March 16, 2023, Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearings.

The vote was as follows:

Yes: Board Members Chitwood, Ferko, Turner and Chairman Otterback.

No: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Board Member Ward.

Motion passed on a vote of 4-0

.....
Approval of Minutes

Motion was made by Board Member Turner and seconded by Board Member Ferko to approve the minutes of Thursday, February 16, 2023, as submitted.

Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

.....

Motion was made by Board Member Chitwood and seconded by Board Member Turner to adjourn the meeting at 12:45 p.m. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

The next Regular Meeting is scheduled for Thursday, April 20, 2023, at 9:00 a.m., in the Courtroom of the Oldham County Fiscal Court Building, LaGrange, Kentucky.

Approved by:

Respectfully Submitted by:

Larry Otterback, Chairman

Christy Edgar, Secretary