

**MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING  
OLDHAM COUNTY  
BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS AND APPEALS**

**Thursday, July 21, 2016**

At 9:00 a.m., local time, on the above date, this meeting of the Oldham County Board of Adjustments and Appeals, hereinafter, called the Board, was called to order in the Courtroom of the Oldham County Fiscal Court, LaGrange, Kentucky, by Chairperson Larry Otterback.

The following members were also present:

Mike Allen  
Stephen Davis  
Robert Houchens  
David Pate

Director Jim Urban, Senior Planner Amy Alvey and Community Planner Brooke Viehmann of Oldham County Planning and Development Services were present and sworn in. Attorney Travis Combs and Administrative Assistant Ethel Foxx were also present.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Board Member Davis called and read Docket OC-16-014.**

**For the record: Board Member Allen recused himself from participating in Docket OC-16-014.**

**Docket OC-16-014** – An application has been filed requesting a Conditional Use Permit for an off-premises sign to be located at 4734 West Highway 146. (Tabled from June 2016 Hearing)

**(1) Presentation by Staff:**

Community Planner Brooke Viehmann presented the following:

- Summary of the application.
- Case History (see Staff Report dated July 21, 2016 Exhibit A).
- Powerpoint Presentation.
- Aerial Photos and area views of the site.

Administrator Urban informed the Board as follows:

- The Shell station property had been vacant for several years.
- When Subway leased the property, everyone overlooked the signs in approving the development plan for Subway.
- It was because of that oversight that Code Enforcement became involved whether the existing tall sign could remain.

- Finally, it was the Planning Commission that determined that the sign could continue as a non-conforming height as they have the ability to waive that issue and can continue as a non-conforming sign.
- Explained the Code Enforcement process and if this is remedied, that case will be closed.
- This Board has approved similar applications in the past regarding off-premise signs.

**(2) Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:**

Attorney Glen Price, Frost Brown Todd LLC, 400 West Market Street, Louisville, representing Thornton's Inc., was present to speak in behalf of this application:

- Presented aerial photos on the overhead showing the existing Shell station sign located adjacent to the Thornton's Station (Applicant Exhibit A).
- This is the only high rise sign in this location.
- Presented aerial photo showing I-71 westbound and that the ramp stops almost immediately at the Thornton's Station. (Applicant Exhibit B)
- The sign cannot be seen coming from Louisville, however, it is visible coming from the other direction most likely the reason for the Shell Station sign having been placed there.
- The sign has been on this property for 47 years.
- Stated that from a business point of view, this is a way to get identity for potential customers.
- In April, the Planning Commission considered the sign a non-conforming sign and the issue is whether the sign meets the conditional use permit requirements.
- This does meet the requirements of Oldham County regulations, is the only sign there, is consistent with the area, is appropriate, and meets the Comprehensive Plan regulations with relation to signage.

Andrew Zazofsky of Thornton's, Inc. 10101 Linn Station Road, Louisville, was present and sworn prior to speaking in behalf of this application:

- The sign sits more than 50 feet from the intersection according to the regulations.
- Southern Petroleum and Subway are both in support of this application.
- Explained how this is essential to the business as it will be visible to customers off I-71.
- There have not been any complaints or had any or issues with the sign that has been there over 47 years.
- They believe that the high-rise sign is consistent with the regulations.
- The sign is on Southern Petroleum's property and have a lease agreement with Subway regarding maintenance and insurance.
- One of the conditions of approval by the Planning Commission on April 26, 2016 was that the sign had to be remedied by July 26, 2016 and the height and size of the sign will not change.

- Presented on the overhead a rendering of the sign having LED numbers; it will not be scrolling or flashing and will need to meet staff review in order to obtain a sign permit.
- If this Board denies this request, the sign will stay and Subway or Southern Petroleum can use the sign.
- They were approached by Subway regarding the sign and this is how they learned about this opportunity.

**(3) Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:**

Mr. Zazofsky responded as follows:

- They hired a sign company and they did a structure analysis of the poles.
- The sign company came to the conclusion that the sign is structurally sound.
- The design of the sign will be very similar to what they presented on the overhead, the size will be the same, having the name of Thornton's and it will advertise "unleaded" gas.
- The sign will not be flashing back and forth from "unleaded to diesel" but will change periodically.
- They have no intentions to go after the major trucking industry traveling on the road.
- The smaller sign will come down per conditions of the April 26, 2016 meeting of the Planning Commission to remove by July 28, 2016 and the subject sign also has to be remedied by July 28, 2016.

**(4) Testimony of the Opposition: None**

**(5) Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None**

**(6) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None**

**(7) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition: None**

**(8) Board Discussion and Final Decision:**

Considered the following regarding provisions for off-premise signs:

- Preservation of natural resources in Oldham County.
- The regulations of the Comprehensive Plan.
- Section 290-080.3 regarding sign lighting as illumination prohibited for off-premise signs.
- Section 290.110.3C regarding the height of the sign.
- The property is zoned C-4, Highway District allowing a service station.
- Planning Commission has approved Sign Waiver, Section 290-160 regarding non-conforming signs giving this Board the basis of hearing the case.

- A condition to be considered to remove the smaller sign.

**Findings and Decisions  
Docket OC-16-014  
Conditional Use Permit  
Off-Premises Sign**

Motion was made by Board Member Houchens and seconded by Board Member Pate to approve a Conditional Use Permit allowing an off-premise sign on an existing nonconforming sign structure located at 4734 West Highway 146, because:

- Oldham County Planning Commission approved the sign waiver, Section 290-160 regarding non-conforming signs.
- Testimony that the potential sign seems appropriate for a conditional use.
- It is essential or desirable to the community.
- It is not in conflict with the elements, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.
- Granting the conditional use permit will allow the proper integration into the community of a use specifically named in the C-4 zoning regulations.

**Conditions of Approval:**

1. The conditional use permit shall only apply to the plan considered at the July 21, 2016 Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearing.
2. There shall be no expansion in height or square footage of the sign.
3. Before the new sign cabinet is installed, the smaller sign must be removed.
4. A sign permit application must be submitted to Planning and Development staff and approved before the sign can be installed.

The vote was as follows:

**Yes: Board Members Pate, Houchens and Otterback.**

**No: Board Member Davis**

**Abstain: None.**

**Absent: Board Member Allen**

**Motion carried on a vote of 3-1.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**FOR THE RECORD: Member Allen returned to participate in the remainder of the hearing for July 21, 2016.**

**Board Member Davis called and read Docket OC-16-015.**

**Docket OC-16-015** – An application has been filed requesting a Variance for the location of a proposed accessory structure to be located at 1615 East Mount Zion Road, Crestwood.

**(1) Presentation by Staff:**

Community Planner Brooke Viehmann presented the following:

- Summary of the application.

- Case History (see Staff Report dated July 21, 2016 Exhibit A).
- Notes.
- Aerial Photos and area view of the site.
- Photos of property and surrounding area.

Ms. Viehmann responded to questions by the Board:

- She is not sure what the black block on the property represents, does not think it is a structure and will have to ask the applicant.
- She is not sure what the structures are on the adjoining property but does not think it is a business as the property is zoned AG-1 residential.
- She is not sure what the buildings are as she could not see them from the road as it was too far back when she visited the site.
- She thinks the structures adjoining property are pole barns.

**(2) Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:**

David Mann of Blitz Builders, Shelbyville, was present and sworn prior to speaking on behalf of this application.

David Mann responded to the Board that he is representing Blitz Builders and the applicant.

\*\*\*\*\*

Because the applicant was not present and because there was no legal representation, it was suggested that this application be tabled giving Mr. Mann time to contact Applicant Leonard Mulcahy to be present for this hearing.

Motion was made by Board Member Davis and seconded by Board Member Pate to table Docket OC-16-015 until the end of the hearings giving Applicant the opportunity to represent himself. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Board Member Davis called and read Docket OC-16-016.**

**Docket OC-16-016** – An application has been filed requesting Side Yard Setback Variance for a proposed residence on property located at 7006 Tip Top Court, LaGrange.

**(1) Presentation by Staff:**

Community Planner Brooke Viehmann presented the following:

- Summary of the application.
- Case History (see Staff Report dated July 21, 2016 Exhibit A).
- Notes.
- Aerial Photos of the site.
- Photos and site plan of property and surrounding property.

Ms. Viehmann responded to questions by the Board:

- Referred to the adjoining house stating that it faces Tip Top.

- Referred to the site plan and the proposed house will meet the required 35 foot building line.
- If they placed the building closer to the front, they would need a variance.

Administrator Urban responded to questions by the Board:

- If the building were placed closer to the front property line, vehicles would have to be parked on the street or across the sidewalk.
- It is best to leave the 35 foot property line as the setbacks were originally established a long time ago; the issue is that this is not a rectangular shaped building.
- The other homes that have been constructed to meet the 35 foot building line.
- If the Board approves this request, there will be no safety issues as it would still meet fire codes.

**(2) Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:**

Attorney Paul Hibbert, representing Premier Homes, LLC, 2709 Blackiston Mill Road, Clarksville, IN, was present and sworn prior to speaking in behalf of this application. He requested that Bill Fischer give testimony regarding this application.

Bill Fischer, 2709 Blackstone Road, Clarksville, IN, was present and sworn prior to speaking in behalf of this application.

- The proposed home is smaller in size than some in the neighborhood and moving it farther to the rear would give a much less presence to the street.
- The house to the right of the property has a side driveway (a side garage entrance) and is at least 20 feet from the pavement.
- The proposed home has a driveway on the left and there will be no competing driveways, has a front entrance and no problem with parking cars to the side of the house.
- The home to the left that is being constructed has a driveway on Deerfield Trace although the house faces Tip Top Court.
- The way the house will be laid out on the pie shaped lot will make it compatible with the neighborhood as will have a total front yard of 36 feet where 25 feet is required.

**(3) Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:**

Bill Fischer responded as follows:

- Presented a photo of the house to the left side and confirmed that the driveway of that house will face Deerfield Trace although the front of the house will face Tip Top Court.

Steve Welch, 1819 Grandridge Road, Louisville, was present and sworn prior to speaking in behalf of this application:

- He is a member of Ridge Partners Developers, and builders of the houses on each side of the proposed house.
- The proposed house and the adjoining house being constructed are the last two lots and therefore this section will be phased out.
- There is significant space between the houses and the house being constructed 36 feet from the front does not appear tight at the rear.
- This house will be an asset to the neighborhood as will the customer building this house.
- Confirmed that he is one of the owners.
- Gave reasons as to why the lot was configured in that manner and why it fits into that category.
- The property was platted years ago by Kiesel/Meyers and there were some lots that were hard to build on.

**(4) Testimony of the Opposition: None**

**(5) Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None**

**(6) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None**

**(7) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition: None**

**(8) Board Discussion and Final Decision: None**

**Findings and Decisions  
Docket OC-16-016  
Variance  
Side Yard Setback**

Motion was made by Board Member Allen and seconded by Board Member Davis to allow the rear corners of a single family residence to be constructed 8.7 feet from the left side property line and 9.8 feet from the right side property line, requiring a total side yard variance of 6.5 feet, because:

- It will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare.
- It will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity.
- It will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public.
- It will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations.
- The strict application of the provisions of the regulation would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or would create an unnecessary hardship on the applicant.

**Conditions of Approval:**

The variance shall only apply to the application considered at July 21, 2016 Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearing.

The vote was as follows:

**Yes: Board Members Davis, Allen, Pate and Otterback.**

**No: Board Member Houchens.**

**Abstain: None.**

**Absent: None**

**Motion carried on a vote of 4-1.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Board Member Davis called and read Docket OC-16-017.**

**Docket OC-16-017** – An application has been filed requesting a Road Frontage Variance for a proposed tract located at 3610 Old Sligo Road, LaGrange.

**(1) Presentation by Staff:**

Senior Planner Amy Alvey presented the following:

- Summary of the application.
- Case History (see Staff Report dated July 21, 2016 Exhibit A).
- Notes.
- Aerial Photos of the site and area view showing structures and driveway.
- Photos of property.
- Applicant is willing to agree that there will be no further building sites without approval from the Planning Commission.

**(2) Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:**

Cathy Jones, 601A West Jefferson Street, LaGrange, was present and sworn prior to speaking in behalf of this application.

- Ms. Jones' father is deeding the subject property to her on which she wishes to build a home to be closer to him and help take care of him and his property.
- Family has owned the property for over 80 years.
- The property located at 3620 and 3630 Old Sligo is owned by her two brothers.
- She has received State Highway approval for the driveway entrance and the necessary guard rail work has been done for the proposed driveway.
- They have also received approval from the Health Department for the septic system.
- There are no safety concerns and there are no plans to further divide the property without approval from the Planning Commission.

Property owner, John Russell Adams, 3610 Old Sligo Road, LaGrange, was present and sworn prior to speaking in behalf of this application.

- Mr. Adams confirmed that he is the owner of the subject property and he is in favor of this proposal.
- Confirmed that there will be no further division of this property without approval of the Planning Commission.

**(3) Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:**

Cathy Jones responded to questions by the Board:

- Property located at 3620 and 3630 Old Sligo Road are owned by her two brothers.
- Confirmed that the home will be served by a septic system.

**(4) Testimony of the Opposition: None**

**(5) Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None**

**(6) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None**

**(7) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition: None**

**(8) Board Discussion and Final Decision:**

Administrator Jim Urban confirmed to the Board that the minimum lot size without sewers is one acre. Explained that they would not come back to request a variance if at all it would be a subdivision plan.

**Findings and Decisions  
Docket OC-16-017  
Road Frontage Variance**

Motion was made by Board Member Houchens and seconded by Board Member Pate to approve the creation of 3.61 acre tract leaving the residual 5.49 acre tract with 259 feet of road frontage, requiring a variance of 41 feet, because:

- It will not adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare,

**Conditions of Approval:**

1. The variance shall only apply to the application considered at the July 21, 2016 Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearing.

The vote was as follows:

**Yes: Board Members Pate, Houchens, Otterback, Allen and Davis.**

**No: None**

**Abstain: None.**

**Absent: None**

**Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.**

\*\*\*\*\*

Motion to take a five minute break was made at 10:28 a.m. by Board Member Davis and seconded by Board Member Houchens. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Motion to return to session was made at 10:33 by Board Member Davis and seconded by Board Member Houchens. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Board Member Davis called and read Docket OC-16-018.**

**Docket OC-16-018** – An application has been filed requesting a Conditional Use Permit for a second accessory structure located at 13300 River Glades Drive, Prospect.

**(1) Presentation by Staff:**

Senior Planner Amy Alvey presented the following:

- Summary of the application.
- Case History (see Staff Report dated July 21, 2016 Exhibit A).
- Notes.
- Aerial Photos of the site.
- Photos of property including a sample photo of what the building will look like.

**(2) Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:**

Chip Ham, Attorney, 710 Main Street, Louisville, was present to speak in behalf of this application.

Troy Briner, Briner Development, 318 Main Street, Jeffersonville, Indiana was present to speak in behalf of this application.

- Mr. Osborne is proposing to construct an all metal building, not a pole barn, on the property.
- Presented site drawings (Applicant Exhibit A) stating that the building will not sit in front of the home.
- The building will be used for his lawn and garden equipment as most of it sits outside and in his detached garage.
- Due to the elevation drop from the front corner to the back corner of the property, the building size will have to be changed from 50 x 100, to 40 x 85.
- It will have a retaining wall on two sides and the rear of the building, due to the elevation change.

**Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:**

Mr. Briner responded as follows:

- Confirmed it will not sit in front of the existing home.
- The metal building will be in character with the buildings in the area.
- There will be privacy landscaping around the building.
- The photo shown is very similar to the proposed building.
- It will not have any windows, only a 12X12 overhead door, a door in the front and one on the side.

- The building will be heated and will have water to enable him to wash the equipment.
- Applicant needs a place to store his equipment.
- The reason for the location is so that it will be out of site for the neighbors and does not want to disturb the existing trees.

Attorney Ham confirmed the following:

- A home occupation will not be conducted on this property.
- The location of the building is the best as there will be landscaping around the building and the neighbors will not be able to see it.
- The building cannot be seen from the road.

**(3) Testimony of the Opposition: None**

**(4) Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None**

**(5) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None**

**(6) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition: None**

**(7) Board Discussion and Final Decision:**

**Findings and Decisions  
Docket OC-16-018  
Conditional Use – Second Accessory Building**

Motion was made by Board Member Houchens and seconded by Board Member Davis to allow a second accessory building, 40X85 feet (rather than the originally requested 50 by 200 feet) to be constructed on the property because:

- The proposed use is desirable to the applicant.
- It is not in conflict with the elements, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan based on the following factor:
  1. The proposed use is compatible with surrounding land uses and the general character of the area.

**Conditions of Approval:**

1. The conditional use permit shall only apply to the plan considered at the July 21, 2016 Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearing.
2. There shall be no commercial activity conducted out of the accessory structure.
3. The accessory structure shall not be occupied as living space.

The vote was as follows:

**Yes: Board Members Pate, Houchens, Otterback, Allen and Davis.**

**No: None**

**Abstain: None.**

**Absent: None**

**Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Board Member Davis called and read Docket OC-16-019:**

**Docket OC-16-019** – An application has been filed requesting Front Yard Setback Variance for a proposed residence on property located at 4603 Sunny Hill Drive, Crestwood.

**(1) Presentation by Staff:**

Senior Planner Amy Alvey presented the following:

- Summary of the application.
- Case History (see Staff Report dated July 21, 2016 Exhibit A).
- Notes.
- Aerial Photos of the site and area view showing the vacant lot.
- Record plat of the subdivision showing setbacks for the adjoining lots.
- Photos of property and surrounding area.

Ms. Alvey responded to questions by the Board:

- The required setback for the zoning district is 35 feet; other homes in the subdivision have a 50 foot building setback per the record plat but all the homes in the cul-de-sac have different setbacks.
- Explained the difference between the zoning regulations and the subdivision record plat which was approved by the Planning Commission.
- Letter from the president of North Ridge Farms (Exhibit B) was presented stating that their Home Owners Association will not approve the placement of the house 105 feet from the front property line.
- Does not know why this lot required the 105 foot setback.

Administrator Urban responded as follows:

- Referred to the record plat and explained to the Board the reasons the Planning Commission approved the lots which was approved in 1996; the record plat cannot be violated unless they get a variance.
- The HOA is requesting to keep the building setback in with the character of the street.
- There are compelling reasons why we should not build at the 105 foot setback due to the drainage area and the character of the neighborhood.

**(2) Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application:**

Tom Stewart, 1910 Clearview Drive, LaGrange, son of Applicant, Joe Stewart, was present and sworn prior to speaking in behalf of this application.

Joe Stewart, 3822 Carriage Hill, Crestwood, was present and sworn prior to speaking in behalf of this application.

Tom Stewart stated the following:

- He and his father are building the proposed house.
- The 105 foot building line setback was originally a mistake as it would place the house in the middle of the drainage easement.
- He obtained a building permit to construct the house 152 feet from the front property line however, the Home Owners Association informed him he did not have the HOA approval and they requested he place the house in line with the adjoining houses.
- He met several times with the HOA and they asked that he place the house 70 feet from the property line, however, the rear of the house would sit in the drainage easement.
- He had to redraw the house placing the garage at the front and is now asking to place the house 53 feet from the front property line.
- Not having to cross the drainage area with the driveway and leaving room in the back yard for the children to play makes more sense.

**(3) Questioning of the Applicant or representative and others in support of the application by the Board:**

Tom Stewart responded as follows:

- The garage will sit on the right side of the house next to Lot 103.
- The front of the garage will sit 53'6" from the front property line.
- The proposed configuration is satisfactory to him as a builder and will keep the home out of the drainage area.
- He does have the Health Department approval.
- The house started out as a spec house but it is now under contract.
- Confirmed that the proposed location of 53'6" from the front property line is where he wishes to construct the house.

Joe Stewart responded to the Board as follows:

- Tom is his son and they are building the house together.
- Because Tom has been building for a long time, he is in agreement with what his son is requesting.

**(4) Testimony of the Opposition: None**

**(5) Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None**

**(6) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None**

**(7) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition: None**

**(8) Board Discussion and Final Decision:**

Ms. Alvey's testimony regarding drainage supports the Applicant's request for the variance.

**Findings and Decisions  
Docket OC-16-019  
Front Yard Setback Variance**

Motion was made by Board Member Houchens and seconded by Board Member Allen to approve a single family residence to be constructed 53.6 feet from the front property line, requiring a variance of 51.4 feet, because:

- The variance will improve the placement of the home.
- The placement of the house will be in character with the neighborhood and the vicinity.
- Will not allow an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations.

**Conditions of Approval:**

1. The variance shall only apply to the application considered at the July 21, 2016 Oldham County Board of Adjustments public hearing.

The vote was as follows:

**Yes: Board Members Pate, Houchens, Otterback, Allen and Stephens.**

**No: None**

**Abstain: None.**

**Absent: None**

**Motion carried on a vote of 5-0.**

\*\*\*\*\*

Motion was made by Board Member Houchens and seconded by Board Member Allen at 11:23 a.m. for a five minute recess. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Motion was made by Board Member Allen and seconded by Board Member Pate at 11:28 to return from the brief recess. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

\*\*\*\*\*

Motion was made by Board Member Davis and seconded by Board Member Houchens to remove Docket OC-16-015 from the table from today's 9:49 a.m. meeting. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

**Docket OC-16-015** – An application has been filed requesting a Variance for the location of a proposed accessory structure to be located at 1615 East Mount Zion Road, Crestwood.

Community Planner reviewed Applicant's request that was heard until 9:49 this morning:

- This will be the first accessory building on the property.
- Applicant proposes to construct a 768 square foot building 18 feet from the right corner of the primary structure.
- The reason for the requested placement of the accessory building is that the septic system is located to the rear of the house and the other side of the house is prone to flooding.
- The request meets all other residential regulations.
- Presented the aerial view of the location of the proposed pole barn.
- Informed the Board that the Applicant is also in the process of constructing a sun room to the front of the house and therefore, the accessory building will sit closer to the principal structure.

**(2) Presentation by the Applicant in support of the application (continued):**

Applicant Leonard Mulcahy, 1615 Mount Zion Road, Crestwood, was present and sworn prior to speaking in behalf of this application.

Mr. Mulcahy requested that David Mann of Blitz builders present this application in his behalf.

David Mann of Blitz builders, having been previously sworn, stated as follows:

- Referred to the plot plan showing the location of the proposed building.
- Mr. Mulcahy is proposing to have a sunroom addition constructed at the front of the house and therefore, the proposed building may sit just behind the front of the house.
- The reason for the variance request is due to the location of the septic system and also location of a telephone pole.

Mr. Mulcahy referred to the aerial and pointed out the location of the garage that is attached to the house and location the septic system.

He explained that placing the building to the side would place it too close to the neighbor's property line.

David Mann continued that the proposed 24 by 32 foot building cannot be placed where the vehicle is shown on the aerial as it would be too close to the property line.

**(4) Testimony of the Opposition: None**

**(5) Questioning of the Opposition by the Board: None**

**(6) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Applicant: None**

**(7) Rebuttal and Final Statement by the Opposition: None**

**(8) Board Discussion:**

There was much discussion by the Board that they are having a hard time as to what the Applicant is requesting. It was suggested that a scaled drawing would need to be presented in order to make a good judgement.

Board Members suggested that it may be best that the Applicant table this application until the applicant can come back with a better proposal showing defined setbacks. It is possible that once the applicant determines where he can place the garage it is possible he may not even need to come back for a variance.

\*\*\*\*\*

Applicant requests that this application be tabled for the August 18, 2016 meeting.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Motion to Table**

Motion was made by Board Member Allen and seconded by Board Member Davis to table Docket OC-16-015 until the August 18, 2016 meeting.

Motion was stated vote taken and carried 5-0.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Approval of Minutes**

Motion was made by Board Member Allen and seconded by Board Member Davis to approve the minutes of June 16, 2016 as submitted and corrected.

Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Other Business: None.**

\*\*\*\*\*

Motion was made by Board Member Davis and seconded by Board Member Houchens to adjourn the meeting at 11:52. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

The next Regular Meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 18, 2016, at 9:00 a.m., in the Courtroom of the Oldham County Fiscal Court Building, LaGrange, Kentucky.

Respectfully Submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ethel Foxx  
Administrative Assistant

Approved:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Larry Otterback, Chairperson