## Currys Fork Watershed Roundtable Meeting Notes

September 24, 2009 John Black Community Center

On September 24, 2009, ninety-one concerned citizens of Curry's Fork gathered to discuss their concerns and goals for the watershed. The meeting opened with an introductory presentation informing residents of the partnership between the Oldham County Fiscal Court and the EPA and the grant to write a watershed plan to address water quality issues in the watershed.

A brief description of the watershed was provided. Curry's Fork Watershed has four subwatersheds: North Curry's Fork, South Curry's Fork, Curry's Fork and Asher's Run that drains into Floyd's Fork. The total budget to study Curry's Fork and write a watershed plan and implement priority actions is \$1.6 million dollars.

The Clean Water Act set goals for the country's waters to be fishable and swimmable. The Kentucky Division of Water determined that a four mile stream segment in Currys Fork is impaired. Developing a watershed plan will improve the likelihood of successful water quality improvement, minimize duplication, increase collaboration with county agencies and improve the likelihood of securing future funding resources.

The Curry's Fork watershed plan will lay out strategies for managing water quality and provide a framework to implement priority issues. To date, the project has collected water quality data and orchestrated technical stakeholder meetings in order to inventory both existing problems and programs (solutions) already underway in the watershed. In the next few months the water quality data will be analyzed and a water quality report will be authored. The project will result in not only a comprehensive watershed plan, but also includes on-the-ground work. Implementation plans are in the works for a stream restoration project. The University of Louisville has designed a stream restoration project for 3,700 feet of South Curry's Fork off Moody Lane.

Valuable community input was gathered on why Currys Fork is important along with concerns for the watershed and future goals. The ninety-one participants were divided into 13 groups to answer three specific questions. Each table reported back to the group with highlights of the group's discussion.

## Question 1: How and why is the Curry's Fork watershed important to you?

Table 1 ■ Table 2 Aesthetic value We live there! Potential health Live in watershed Table 3 Clean recreation issues/smells Natural resources are areas Wildlife support Good place for important to all generations wildlife habitat □ Table 10 □ Table 4 □ Table 7 Take care of limited Live there Health of community Contribute to the Property values go Important to take care health of other water down due to flooding of for wildlife and Quality of life people Increases quality of ■ Table 8 ■ Table 11 life Recreation for kids Ditto □ Table 5 & 6 Produce farming □ Table 12 Runoff over and Water shortages Kids play in it, on Wildlife/habitat property Flooding Conditions □ Table 9 No more tax increase Flooding and debris Impacts on Land Flooding into street

| _  | Table 13     | Source of drinking<br>water<br>Flooding homes<br>Mosquitoes<br>Property erosion | <u> </u> | Table 15  Table 16 | Walking in water,<br>don't want to get sick                                 |   | :             | Live on creek<br>General well being of<br>ecosystem<br>Rural character of the<br>area<br>Wildlife/Recreation |
|--|--------------|---|----------|--------------------|---|---|---------------|--|
| Question 2: What are the problems in Curry's Fork watershed?  □ Table 1 □ Table 1 □ Table 11 |              |   |          |                    |   |   |               |  |
| _  |              | Floating debris Large items Flooding  | 0        | Table 7            | cleaning  | _ | Table 12      | Failing septic systems   |
|  | •            | Soil Erosion-<br>Sedimentation  |          | :                  | Flooding/runoff debris<br>in yard<br>Erosion in yard-west                   | _ | Table 12      | Flooding Flooding Flooding   |
|  | Table 2<br>■ | Under capacity treatment plants   |          | •                  | moody<br>Water Quality Sewer<br>Effluent                                    |   | •             | Too much money on this project Building without  |
|  | •            | Wildlife so don't eat in garden nice safe habitat                               |          | Table 8<br>■       | Inducing of flooding damming  |   |               | evaluating<br>environmental<br>consequences  |
| 0  | Table 3      | Enforcement   |          | :                  | Construction issues<br>New stormwater                                       |   | Table 13      | Clogged streams  |
| _  | Table 4      | Check septic on regular basis   |          | Table 9<br>■       | management plan  Bacteria in water  | _ | Table 14/     |  |
|  | :            | Stormwater from I71 Fertilizer over use Package sanitary treatment plants       |          | :                  | Modification of<br>stream bed<br>Silt/ erosion<br>Stream subject to         |   | •             | Could not carry a<br>heavy rain<br>Too much clear<br>cut/dev   |
|  | Table 5<br>■ | Pollutants and pathogens  |          | Table 10           | dumping Uncontrolled runoff   |   |               | Poor stormwater<br>Improve treatment<br>plant  |
| _  | ■<br>Table 6 | Construction<br>management<br>Wildlife  |          | •                  | from construction Erosion control on sloping properties Faulty septic tanks |   | Table 16 ■ ■  | Runoff flooding<br>Uncontrolled<br>development   |
|  | •            | Pollution, chemical and biological  |          |                    | , ,   |   | :             | More flood plain<br>Package Treatment<br>Plants  |
| Question 3: What are your goals for Currys Fork watershed?                                   |              |   |          |                    |   |   |               |  |
| _  | Table 2      | Better water quality for Currys Fork  |          | Table 6<br>■       | Funds used efficient-<br>not like government                                |   | Table 10<br>■ | Special tax monitored curry's fork benefits  |
| _  |              | Economical clean up<br>that works<br>Disease free water<br>Polluters pay for    |          | Table 7<br>■       | Back in it beds, no<br>more flooding<br>Creek cleaned up                    |   | :             | Return streambed to natural flow In expensive maintenance controls   |
| _  | Table 3      | misuse  |          | -                  | roots Recreation, kids  |   | Table 11      | Countywide sewers  |
|  | •            | Enjoy the peace of nature   | _        | •                  | Health and safety of people who live there                                  |   | •             | Very little agriculture, watch where it is   |
|  | Table 4 ■    | Clean it up for our family now and in the future                                | _        | Table 8            | Education<br>Fix Sewer plant<br>capacity                                    | 0 | Table 12      | coming from  Likes table 8 answers Freely to recreate  |
|  |              | Control any future<br>damage and improve<br>the forks over all<br>health        | _        | Table 9            | Flood control<br>End good old boys<br>system                                |   | Table 13      | Integrity for funds  Restore ecosystem  Public education   |
|  | Table 5<br>■ | Meet Water Quality<br>Standards   |          | •                  | Improve Water Quality Reduction in flooding                                 |   | Table 14/     | Clean up water   |
|  | •            | Education- care of<br>water/safety<br>Recreation<br>development                 |          | •                  | Bring back to natural<br>Locate and<br>addressing pollution                 | 0 | Table 16      | More public access  Cleaner water  Proper structure  Limit development in flood plain                        |

In summary, the roundtable discussion reported on the importance of the watershed, concerns of the watershed and goals for the watershed. Curry's Fork watershed is important because they live there. The major concerns with the watershed are flooding, erosion, bacteria, development pressures, taxes and fiscally responsible use of funds. Goals for the watershed are to improve water quality, education, and locate sources of pollution. There was a wide array of viewpoints and neighborhoods represented. In addition to the summary responses provided above, each individual response will be compiled for incorporation into the watershed plan. The water quality data will be analyzed this fall and in the spring of 2010 water quality will be discussed. The community input gathered will be incorporated into the watershed based plan.