


Curry's Fork Bacteria Watershed Roundtable Notes

July 15, 2010
John Black Community Center

Over forty concerned citizens of Curry's Fork gathered to hear about the bacteria concerns and provide feedback on proposed solutions. The meeting opened with an introductory presentation on the overall objectives and the need for public input. The project goal is to improve the water quality of Curry's Fork through development of a watershed based plan and targeted implementation. Curry's Fork Watershed has four sub-watersheds: North Curry's Fork, South Curry's Fork, Curry's Fork and Ashers Run that drain into Floyd's Fork. The total budget to develop a watershed plan and implement priority actions is \$1.6 million dollars.



The water quality data was analyzed in two phases: the first phase was focused on bacteria water quality (spring 2010) and the second phase will be conducted this fall for warm water aquatic habitat related pollutants such as sediment, nutrients and temperature. Local pediatrician, Dr. Ashlie Collins, emphasized the health concerns associated with elevated levels of bacteria in our waters. The most at risk populations are children and elderly.

Bacteria data was collected in 2007 and 2009 and evaluated in the spring of 2010. Data results were reviewed by a Water Quality Data Analysis Team which includes representatives from USGS, DOW, University of Louisville, Sustainable Streams, Third Rock Consultants and Strand Associates. Based on the conclusion from the data review, each subwatershed area was classified as high priority protection (Curry's Watershed, Lower Ashers Run), High Priority Restoration (Upper Ashers Run), Medium Priority Restoration (Lower North Curry's, and South Curry's) and Low Priority Restoration (Upper North Curry's). See bacteria priority map. Data results, probable pollutant sources and effective solutions were discussed with the Curry's Fork Watershed Technical Committee over the course of several meetings. The probable pollutant sources and effective solutions were discussed and citizens provided input on the feasibility of implementation various solutions.

The Curry's Fork Bacteria Roundtable Meeting provided a summary of the bacteria water quality conditions and provided an opportunity to discuss proposed solutions with residents in the watershed. Attendees to the meeting completed a survey and provided feedback on proposed solutions or remediation activities for each subwatershed and for the entire watershed. Solutions were scored on a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being the most effective. The results for each subwatershed are presented on the following pages and will be used to develop recommendations for the final Watershed Plan. The next phase is to discuss non-bacteria impairments to the watershed and proposed solutions in the fall of 2010.

Upper Ashers Run Subwatershed

Curry's Fork Bacteria Roundtable
Thursday July 15, 2010
John Black Community Center


Bacteria Restoration Protection Priority

The bacteria pollution protection priority in the Upper (headwaters) Area of Ashers Run is high priority restoration.

Pollutant Sources

The more probable bacteria pollution sources in the Upper (headwaters) Area of Ashers Run are (Listed in no particular order or rank):

- Low-intensity animal operations (small numbers of goats, horses, etc. as well as some 'non-traditional' livestock on relatively small properties)
- Septic Systems
- Wildlife



Proposed Solutions / Remediation Activities Survey Results

Proposed Solution	Number of Responses	Total Score	Average Score	Percentage of "5" Responses	Percentage of "4" Responses	Percentage of "3" Responses	Percentage of "2" Responses	Percentage of "1" Responses	Percentage of "No Opinion" Responses
Encourage preservation and creation of green space and buffer strips near streams.	36	151	4.2	61%	11%	19%	6%	0%	3%
Increase monitoring of streams in watershed.	35	145	4.1	43%	40%	9%	6%	3%	0%
Ensure communication, guidelines and pre-planning/approval for any wastewater system improvements, modifications or upgrades on a watershed scale.	35	142	4.1	37%	40%	20%	0%	0%	3%
Implement an aggressive and targeted program to educate homeowners on effective septic system maintenance, management and operation	36	144	4.0	36%	39%	19%	3%	0%	3%
Implement an education and outreach program to raise awareness about watershed conditions and solutions/actions to improve water quality	36	140	3.9	33%	33%	28%	3%	0%	3%
Educate homeowners, livestock owners and farms of non-traditional animals on appropriate BMPs for pathogen reduction.	35	136	3.9	26%	46%	26%	0%	0%	3%
Water quality and watershed education to homeowners specific to watershed and its impairments.	34	129	3.8	26%	41%	24%	6%	0%	3%
Support efforts to continue collaboration, cooperation and communication between county agencies at a watershed scale.	36	135	3.8	22%	50%	14%	11%	0%	3%
Establish a communication plan to convey the findings of the watershed plan.	36	133	3.7	25%	36%	31%	3%	3%	3%
Encourage and support the formation of a citizen-based watershed organization for Curry's Fork.	36	129	3.6	36%	31%	8%	11%	8%	6%
Encourage and support wastewater planning efforts at a watershed scale to create long-term solutions for utilities and residents. For example, include plans to extend sewer lines when planning to extend water lines.	36	120	3.3	31%	22%	17%	14%	14%	3%
Develop a program to ensure regular septic system inspections, and, as necessary, upgrades or repairs of systems.	36	106	2.9	19%	25%	14%	19%	17%	6%

Lower Ashers Run Subwatershed

Curry's Fork Bacteria Roundtable
Thursday July 15, 2010
John Black Community Center


Bacteria Restoration Protection Priority

The bacteria pollution protection priority in the Lower (downstream) Area of Ashers Run is high priority protection.

Pollutant Sources

The more probable bacteria pollution sources in the Lower (downstream) Area of Ashers Run are (Listed in no particular order or rank):

- Upstream Contributions
- Wildlife



Proposed Solutions / Remediation Activities Survey Results

Proposed Solution	Number of Responses	Total Score	Average Score	Percentage of "5" Responses	Percentage of "4" Responses	Percentage of "3" Responses	Percentage of "2" Responses	Percentage of "1" Responses	Percentage of "No Opinion" Responses
Encourage preservation and creation of green space and buffer strips near streams.	34	146	4.3	65%	12%	12%	12%	0%	0%
Water quality and watershed education to homeowners specific to watershed and its impairments.	33	137	4.2	39%	36%	24%	0%	0%	0%
Support efforts to continue collaboration, cooperation and communication between county agencies at a watershed scale.	34	134	3.9	29%	41%	24%	6%	0%	0%
Increase monitoring of streams in watershed.	34	133	3.9	26%	50%	15%	6%	3%	0%
Implement program to educate homeowners on effective septic system maintenance, management and operation	34	132	3.9	35%	26%	32%	3%	3%	0%
Implement an education and outreach program to raise awareness about watershed conditions and solutions/actions to improve water quality	34	130	3.8	26%	38%	29%	3%	3%	0%
Establish a communication plan to convey the findings of the watershed plan.	34	130	3.8	26%	38%	26%	9%	0%	0%
Ensure communication, guidelines and pre-planning/approval for any wastewater system improvements, modifications or upgrades on a watershed scale.	34	129	3.8	21%	47%	29%	0%	0%	3%
Encourage and support the formation of a citizen-based watershed organization for Curry's Fork.	36	130	3.6	33%	28%	19%	8%	8%	3%
Encourage and support wastewater planning efforts at a watershed scale to create long-term solutions for utilities and residents. For example, include plans to extend sewer lines when planning to extend water lines.	34	115	3.4	29%	21%	21%	18%	12%	0%

Upper North Curry's Fork Subwatershed

Curry's Fork Bacteria Roundtable
Thursday July 15, 2010
John Black Community Center


Bacteria Restoration Protection Priority

The bacteria pollution protection priority in the Upper (headwaters) Area of North Curry's Fork is low priority restoration.

Pollutant Sources

The more probable bacteria pollution sources in the Upper (headwaters) Area of North Curry's Fork are
(Listed in no particular order or rank):

- Density of Septic Systems in Crystal Lake Subdivision



Proposed Solutions / Remediation Activities Survey Results

Proposed Solution	Number of Responses	Total Score	Average Score	Percentage of "5" Responses	Percentage of "4" Responses	Percentage of "3" Responses	Percentage of "2" Responses	Percentage of "1" Responses	Percentage of "No Opinion" Responses
Encourage preservation and creation of green space and buffer strips near streams.	37	161	4.4	59%	22%	14%	5%	0%	0%
Implement an aggressive and targeted program to educate homeowners on effective septic system maintenance, management and operation	34	143	4.2	50%	24%	24%	3%	0%	0%
Implement an education and outreach program to raise awareness about watershed conditions and solutions/actions to improve water quality	34	139	4.1	35%	38%	26%	0%	0%	0%
Water quality and watershed education to homeowners specific to watershed and its impairments.	32	129	4.0	34%	38%	25%	3%	0%	0%
Increase monitoring of streams in watershed.	34	137	4.0	35%	44%	12%	6%	3%	0%
Support efforts to continue collaboration, cooperation and communication between county agencies at a watershed scale.	33	132	4.0	30%	45%	18%	6%	0%	0%
Encourage and support the formation of a citizen-based watershed organization for Curry's Fork.	35	136	3.9	43%	26%	14%	11%	6%	0%
Ensure communication, guidelines and pre-planning/approval for any wastewater system improvements, modifications or upgrades on a watershed scale.	34	132	3.9	29%	35%	32%	0%	3%	0%
Establish a communication plan to convey the findings of the watershed plan.	34	132	3.9	24%	47%	24%	6%	0%	0%
Encourage and support wastewater planning efforts at a watershed scale to create long-term solutions for utilities and residents. For example, include plans to extend sewer lines when planning to extend water lines.	35	125	3.6	40%	17%	17%	11%	14%	0%
Develop a program to ensure regular septic system inspections, and, as necessary, upgrades or repairs of systems.	35	120	3.4	34%	26%	9%	11%	20%	0%

Lower North Curry's Fork Subwatershed

Curry's Fork Bacteria Roundtable
Thursday July 15, 2010
John Black Community Center

Lower North Curry's Fork


Bacteria Restoration Protection Priority

The bacteria pollution protection priority in the Lower (downstream) Area of North Curry's Fork is medium priority restoration.

Pollutant Sources

The more probable bacteria pollution sources in the Lower (downstream) Area of North Curry's Fork are (Listed in no particular order or rank):

- Failing septic systems in Borowick Farms
- Stormwater from MS4 Areas (La Grange and Oldham County)
- Buckner Package Treatment Plant
- La Grange Wastewater Treatment Plant
- Permitted Household Discharge
- Stormwater leaking into sewers and taking up capacity, causing overflows and/or plant upsets



Proposed Solutions / Remediation Activities Survey Results

Proposed Solutions	Number of Responses	Total Score	Average Score	Percentage of "5" Responses	Percentage of "4" Responses	Percentage of "3" Responses	Percentage of "2" Responses	Percentage of "1" Responses	Percentage of "No Opinion" Responses
Implement an aggressive and targeted program to educate homeowners on effective septic system maintenance, management and operation	35	155	4.4	60%	23%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Encourage preservation and creation of green space and buffer strips near streams.	36	157	4.4	61%	22%	8%	8%	0%	0%
Ensure wastewater treatment plant capacity for current and future users through sewer infrastructure repair or upgrades.	34	147	4.3	65%	15%	15%	0%	6%	0%
Support wastewater facility upgrades and rehabilitations to enhance wastewater treatment.	36	154	4.3	61%	19%	11%	3%	6%	0%
Implement an education and outreach program to raise awareness about watershed conditions and solutions/actions to improve water quality	35	147	4.2	43%	37%	17%	3%	0%	0%
Water quality and watershed education to homeowners specific to watershed and its impairments.	33	137	4.2	45%	27%	24%	3%	0%	0%
Eliminate sewer overflows.	35	145	4.1	57%	29%	3%	0%	6%	6%
Ensure communication, guidelines and pre-planning/approval for any wastewater system improvements, modifications or upgrades on a watershed scale.	34	137	4.0	38%	29%	29%	3%	0%	0%
Increase education/outreach programs to and enforcement of private homeowners with permitted wastewater discharges	35	141	4.0	46%	29%	17%	3%	3%	3%
Establish a communication plan to convey the findings of the watershed plan.	34	136	4.0	35%	38%	18%	9%	0%	0%
Increase monitoring of streams in watershed.	36	142	3.9	33%	39%	19%	6%	3%	0%
Support efforts to continue collaboration, cooperation and communication between county agencies at a watershed scale.	34	134	3.9	29%	38%	29%	3%	0%	0%
Encourage and support the formation of a citizen-based watershed organization for Curry's Fork.	37	145	3.9	43%	30%	8%	14%	5%	0%
Develop and conduct program to educate homeowners about responsibilities pertaining to sewer lateral lines.	34	131	3.9	29%	41%	21%	3%	6%	0%
Improve compliance with sump pumps/down-spout ordinance(s) to reduce non-wastewater flows to sewers.	35	134	3.8	46%	23%	14%	3%	14%	0%
For the planned elimination of small wastewater treatment plants, extend sewers to areas in immediate proximity of planned wastewater line work.	34	130	3.8	53%	18%	6%	6%	18%	0%
Reduce the volumes and concentrations of stormwater pollution entering creeks.	36	135	3.8	44%	17%	19%	11%	6%	3%
Encourage and support wastewater planning efforts at a watershed scale to create long-term solutions for utilities and residents. For example, include plans to extend sewer lines when planning to extend water lines.	36	130	3.6	33%	31%	14%	8%	14%	0%
Transfer management of smaller wastewater treatment centers to larger municipalities	36	122	3.4	42%	14%	17%	3%	19%	6%
Support and encourage of Oldham County's and La Grange's stormwater programs.	34	114	3.4	26%	18%	35%	9%	9%	3%
Develop a program to ensure regular septic system inspections, and, as necessary, upgrades or repairs of systems.	36	118	3.3	36%	14%	19%	6%	22%	3%

Upper South Curry's Fork Subwatershed

Curry's Fork Bacteria Roundtable
Thursday July 15, 2010
John Black Community Center

Bacteria Restoration Protection Priority


The bacteria pollution protection priority in the Upper (headwaters) Area of South Curry's Fork is medium priority restoration.

Pollutant Sources

The more probable bacteria pollution sources in the Upper (headwaters) Area of South Curry's Fork are
(Listed in no particular order or rank):

- Green Valley Package Treatment Plant

Proposed Solutions / Remediation Activities Survey Results



	Number of Responses	Total Score	Average Score	Percentage of "5" Responses	Percentage of "4" Responses	Percentage of "3" Responses	Percentage of "2" Responses	Percentage of "1" Responses
Proposed Solutions								
Encourage preservation and creation of green space and buffer strips near streams.	33	142	4.3	61%	18%	12%	9%	0%
Support wastewater facility upgrades and rehabilitations to enhance wastewater treatment.	31	135	4.4	61%	26%	6%	0%	6%
Ensure wastewater treatment plant capacity for current and future users through sewer infrastructure repair or upgrades.	31	131	4.2	61%	23%	3%	3%	10%
Eliminate sewer overflows.	30	128	4.3	67%	17%	7%	0%	7%
Ensure communication, guidelines and pre-planning/approval for any wastewater system improvements, modifications or upgrades on a watershed scale.	30	124	4.1	43%	27%	30%	0%	0%
Increase monitoring of streams in watershed.	31	123	4.0	35%	39%	16%	6%	3%
Educate homeowners, livestock owners and farms of non-traditional animals on appropriate BMPs for pathogen reduction.	30	122	4.1	33%	40%	27%	0%	0%
Implement an education and outreach program to raise awareness about watershed conditions and solutions/actions to improve water quality	30	120	4.0	33%	40%	20%	7%	0%
Improve compliance with sump pumps/down-spout ordinance(s) to reduce non-wastewater flows to sewers.	31	118	3.8	32%	39%	16%	6%	3%
Water quality and watershed education to homeowners specific to watershed and its impairments.	30	117	3.9	27%	40%	30%	3%	0%
Support efforts to continue collaboration, cooperation and communication between county agencies at a watershed scale.	30	116	3.9	20%	50%	27%	3%	0%
Develop and conduct program to educate homeowners about responsibilities pertaining to sewer lateral lines.	30	116	3.9	33%	37%	23%	0%	3%
Encourage and support the formation of a citizen-based watershed organization for Curry's Fork.	32	116	3.6	34%	22%	22%	16%	6%
Establish a communication plan to convey the findings of the watershed plan.	30	115	3.8	27%	37%	30%	7%	0%
Encourage and support wastewater planning efforts at a watershed scale to create long-term solutions for utilities and residents. For example, include plans to extend sewer lines when planning to extend water lines.	31	111	3.6	29%	35%	13%	10%	13%
For the planned elimination of small wastewater treatment plants, extend sewers to areas in immediate proximity of planned wastewater line work.	30	110	3.7	47%	13%	17%	7%	17%
Transfer management of smaller wastewater treatment centers to larger municipalities	30	100	3.3	33%	23%	13%	7%	20%

Lower South Curry's Fork Subwatershed

Curry's Fork Bacteria Roundtable
Thursday July 15, 2010
John Black Community Center

Bacteria Restoration Protection Priority


The bacteria pollution protection priority in the Lower (downstream) Area of South Curry's Fork is medium priority restoration.

Pollutant Sources

The more probable bacteria pollution sources in the Lower (downstream) Area of South Curry's Fork are (Listed in no particular order or rank):

- Lockwood Package Treatment Plant
- Lakewood Package Treatment Plant
- Centerfield Elementary Package Treatment Plant
- Septic Systems

Proposed Solutions / Remediation Activities Survey Results



Proposed Solutions	Number of Responses	Total Score	Average Score	Percentage of "5" Responses	Percentage of "4" Responses	Percentage of "3" Responses	Percentage of "2" Responses	Percentage of "1" Responses	Percentage of "No Opinion" Responses
Eliminate sewer overflows.	27	116	4.3	59%	26%	7%	0%	7%	0%
Encourage preservation and creation of green space and buffer strips near streams.	31	128	4.1	58%	19%	10%	6%	3%	3%
Increase monitoring of streams in watershed.	30	123	4.1	43%	37%	10%	7%	3%	0%
Water quality and watershed education to homeowners specific to watershed and its impairments.	28	114	4.1	39%	32%	25%	4%	0%	0%
Ensure wastewater treatment plant capacity for current and future users through sewer infrastructure repair or upgrades.	29	118	4.1	45%	38%	7%	0%	10%	0%
Support wastewater facility upgrades and rehabilitations to enhance wastewater treatment.	29	117	4.0	52%	21%	17%	0%	10%	0%
Implement an aggressive and targeted program to educate homeowners on effective septic system maintenance, management and operation	30	121	4.0	30%	47%	20%	3%	0%	0%
Ensure communication, guidelines and pre-planning/approval for any wastewater system improvements, modifications or upgrades on a watershed scale.	28	111	4.0	36%	29%	32%	4%	0%	0%
Implement an education and outreach program to raise awareness about watershed conditions and solutions/actions to improve water quality	29	113	3.9	28%	41%	24%	7%	0%	0%
Develop and conduct program to educate homeowners about responsibilities pertaining to sewer lateral lines.	28	109	3.9	32%	43%	14%	4%	7%	0%
Encourage and support the formation of a citizen-based watershed organization for Curry's Fork.	31	119	3.8	35%	35%	10%	16%	3%	0%
Establish a communication plan to convey the findings of the watershed plan.	29	110	3.8	24%	34%	38%	3%	0%	0%
Support efforts to continue collaboration, cooperation and communication between county agencies at a watershed scale.	28	106	3.8	18%	50%	25%	7%	0%	0%
For the planned elimination of small wastewater treatment plants, extend sewers to areas in immediate proximity of planned wastewater line work.	29	106	3.7	34%	28%	21%	3%	14%	0%
Encourage and support wastewater planning efforts at a watershed scale to create long-term solutions for utilities and residents. For example, include plans to extend sewer lines when planning to extend water lines.	30	108	3.6	33%	30%	17%	3%	17%	0%
Improve compliance with sump pumps/down-spout ordinance(s) to reduce non-wastewater flows to sewers.	30	104	3.5	33%	23%	17%	13%	10%	3%
Develop a program to ensure regular septic system inspections, and, as necessary, upgrades or repairs of systems.	30	100	3.3	23%	40%	3%	13%	20%	0%
Transfer management of smaller treatment centers to larger municipalities	30	99	3.3	27%	27%	20%	7%	17%	3%

Curry's Fork Subwatershed

Curry's Fork Bacteria Roundtable
Thursday July 15, 2010
John Black Community Center

Bacteria Restoration Protection Priority


The bacteria pollution protection priority in the Curry's Fork (mainstream) Area is high priority protection.

Pollutant Sources

The more probable bacteria pollution sources in the Curry's Fork (mainstream) Area are (Listed in no particular order or rank):

- North Curry's Upstream Contribution
- South Curry's Upstream Contribution
- Permitted Household Discharge
- Country Village Package Treatment Plant

Proposed Solutions / Remediation Activities Survey Results



Proposed Solution	Number of Responses	Total Score	Average Score	Percentage of "5" Responses	Percentage of "4" Responses	Percentage of "3" Responses	Percentage of "2" Responses	Percentage of "1" Responses	Percentage of "No Opinion" Responses
Encourage preservation and creation of green space and buffer strips near streams.	31	138	4.5	71%	10%	13%	6%	0%	0%
Promote watershed protection status and encourage continued protection in identified pathogen priority protection areas.	29	126	4.3	52%	31%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Eliminate sewer overflows.	31	133	4.3	68%	16%	6%	0%	6%	3%
Ensure wastewater treatment plant capacity for current and future users through sewer infrastructure repair or upgrades.	30	126	4.2	60%	20%	10%	0%	10%	0%
Implement program to educate homeowners on effective septic system maintenance, management and operation	29	120	4.1	41%	34%	21%	3%	0%	0%
Implement an education and outreach program to raise awareness about watershed conditions and solutions/actions to improve water quality	30	124	4.1	43%	30%	23%	3%	0%	0%
Support wastewater facility upgrades and rehabilitations to enhance wastewater treatment.	30	122	4.1	47%	30%	13%	3%	7%	0%
Increase monitoring of streams in watershed.	32	130	4.1	44%	34%	9%	9%	3%	0%
Ensure communication, guidelines and pre-planning/approval for any wastewater system improvements, modifications or upgrades on a watershed scale.	29	114	3.9	38%	21%	38%	3%	0%	0%
Establish a communication plan to convey the findings of the watershed plan.	29	114	3.9	31%	34%	31%	3%	0%	0%
Encourage and support the formation of a citizen-based watershed organization for Curry's Fork.	30	117	3.9	40%	30%	13%	13%	3%	0%
Support efforts to continue collaboration, cooperation and communication between county agencies at a watershed scale.	29	113	3.9	28%	41%	24%	7%	0%	0%
Water quality and watershed education to homeowners specific to watershed and its impairments.	29	112	3.9	34%	17%	48%	0%	0%	0%
Develop and conduct program to educate homeowners about responsibilities pertaining to sewer lateral lines.	29	109	3.8	31%	28%	31%	7%	3%	0%
Increase education/outreach programs to and enforcement of private homeowners with permitted wastewater discharges	29	108	3.7	38%	28%	21%	3%	3%	7%
For the planned elimination of small wastewater treatment plants, extend sewers to areas in immediate proximity of planned wastewater line work.	29	105	3.6	41%	17%	21%	3%	17%	0%
Encourage and support wastewater planning efforts at a watershed scale to create long-term solutions for utilities and residents. For example, include plans to extend sewer lines when planning to extend water lines.	28	100	3.6	36%	21%	25%	0%	18%	0%
Improve compliance with sump pumps/down-spout ordinance(s) to reduce non-wastewater flows to sewers.	30	107	3.6	37%	23%	17%	10%	10%	3%
Transfer management of smaller wastewater treatment centers to larger municipalities	30	105	3.5	37%	23%	17%	3%	17%	3%

Entire Curry's Fork Watershed

Curry's Fork Bacteria Roundtable

Thursday July 15, 2010

John Black Community Center

Bacteria Restoration Protection Priority

There are remediation activities that are recommended for all Curry's Fork subwatersheds. The survey participants were asked to respond to the effectiveness of the proposed solutions similar to the subwatershed exercise. In addition, participants were asked to rank their top five activities in order from 1 to 5 (with 1 being the highest ranking).

Proposed Solutions / Remediation Activities Survey Results

Entire Currys Fork Bacteria Remediation Activity	Number of Responses	Total Score	Average Score	Percentage of "5" Responses	Percentage of "4" Responses	Percentage of "3" Responses	Percentage of "2" Responses	Percentage of "1" Responses	Percentage of "No Opinion" Responses
Encourage preservation and creation of green space and buffer strips near streams.	32	137	4.3	59%	16%	19%	6%	0%	0%
Ensure communication, guidelines and pre-planning/approval for any wastewater system improvements, modifications or upgrades on a watershed scale.	30	123	4.1	30%	50%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Implement an education and outreach program to raise awareness about watershed conditions and solutions/actions to improve water quality	32	127	4.0	34%	31%	31%	3%	0%	0%
Water quality and watershed education to homeowners specific to watershed and its impairments.	30	115	3.8	30%	30%	33%	7%	0%	0%
Increase monitoring of streams in watershed.	29	110	3.8	41%	24%	17%	10%	3%	3%
Support efforts to continue collaboration, cooperation and communication between county agencies at a watershed scale.	31	116	3.7	19%	48%	23%	6%	3%	0%
Encourage and support wastewater planning efforts at a watershed scale to create long-term solutions for utilities and residents. For example, include plans to extend sewer lines when planning to extend water lines.	31	115	3.7	39%	19%	26%	6%	10%	0%
Encourage and support the formation of a citizen-based watershed organization for Curry's Fork.	32	118	3.7	38%	22%	22%	9%	9%	0%
Establish a communication plan to convey the findings of the watershed plan.	30	110	3.7	13%	47%	37%	0%	3%	0%

Entire Currys Fork Bacteria Remediation Activity Rank	Number of Ranked Responses	Average Rank	Percentage of "1" Rank	Percentage of "2" Rank	Percentage of "3" Rank	Percentage of "4" Rank	Percentage of "5" Rank
Encourage preservation and creation of green space and buffer strips near streams.	21	2.4	33%	29%	10%	24%	5%
Increase monitoring of streams in watershed.	21	2.4	33%	24%	24%	10%	10%
Encourage and support wastewater planning efforts at a watershed scale to create long-term solutions for utilities and residents. For example, include plans to extend sewer lines when planning to extend water lines.	17	2.6	29%	24%	12%	24%	12%
Implement an education and outreach program to raise awareness about watershed conditions and solutions/actions to improve water quality	20	2.8	20%	15%	45%	5%	15%
Support efforts to continue collaboration, cooperation and communication between county agencies at a watershed scale.	11	3.2	18%	18%	18%	27%	9%
Ensure communication, guidelines and pre-planning/approval for any wastewater system improvements, modifications or upgrades on a watershed scale.	19	3.3	11%	16%	16%	53%	5%
Encourage and support the formation of a citizen-based watershed organization for Curry's Fork.	18	3.6	17%	11%	17%	11%	44%
Water quality and watershed education to homeowners specific to watershed and its impairments.	16	3.7	0%	31%	13%	13%	44%
Establish a communication plan to convey the findings of the watershed plan.	10	3.9	0%	10%	30%	20%	40%