Professional

Engineering

Services

Curry's Fork Watershed Plan

Report

Oldham County Fiscal Court, KY

September 2011

Revised March 2012

STRAND ASSOCIATES®

Report for
Oldham County Fiscal Court, Kentucky

Curry's Fork Watershed Plan

USEPA Grant No.: C9994861-06
KDOW Application Number: 06-06
Memorandum of Agreement Number: PON2-129-0600002538
Project Period: July 1, 2006 through September 30, 2013

STATE OF KENTUCKY
PAUL G. MARON
23397
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

Paul G. Maron
3-23-2012

Prepared by:

STRAND ASSOCIATES, INC.®
On behalf of the
Oldham County Fiscal Court

September 2011
Revised March 2012

STRAND ASSOCIATES®

The Environmental and Public Protection Cabinet (EPPC) and the Oldham County Fiscal Court do not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, or disability. The EPPC and Oldham County Fiscal Court will provide, on request, reasonable accommodations including auxiliary aids and services to afford an individual with a disability an equal opportunity to participate in all services, programs, and activities. To request materials in an alternate format, contact the Kentucky Division of Water, 200 Fair Oaks Lane, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601, call (502) 564-3410, or contact the Oldham County Fiscal Court.

Funding for this project was provided in part by a grant from the United States Environmental Protection Agency through the Kentucky Division of Water, Nonpoint Source Section, to the Oldham County Fiscal Court as authorized by the Clean Water Act Amendments of 1987, §319(h) Nonpoint Source Implementation Grant #C9994861-06. Mention of trade names or commercial products, if any, does not constitute endorsement. This document was printed on recycled paper.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.
or Following

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
Introduction ES-1
Description of Watershed ES-1
Identified Impairments and Sources ES-1
Project Goals and Objectives ES-2
Summary of Water Quality Data ES-3
Recommended Control Measures with Responsible Parties ES-6
Implementation Monitoring and Evaluation ES-6

SECTION 1–INTRODUCTION

1.01 Project Background 1-1
1.02 Project Area 1-2
1.03 Project Goals 1-3
1.04 Planning and Decision Making Process 1-3
A. Planning Guides 1-3
B. Decision-Making Process 1-4
1.05 Partners and Stakeholders 1-5
1.06 Acronyms and Abbreviations 1-6
1.07 References 1-8

SECTION 2–WATERSHED INVENTORY

2.01 General Watershed Characteristics 2-1
A. Physical and Natural Features 2-1
2.02 Land Use and Land Cover 2-7
A. Land Use and Land Cover Data 2-7
B. Vegetation 2-8
C. Forested Areas and Riparian Buffers 2-8
D. Zoning 2-8
E. Subdivisions and Developed Areas 2-10
F. Transportation 2-10
G. Livestock 2-10
H. Fish and Wildlife 2-11
I. Impervious Cover 2-13
J. Future Land Use Changes 2-13
2.03 Demographic Characteristics 2-15
A. Population Growth 2-16
B. Demographics 2-17
C. Economics 2-17
2.04 Wastewater, Water, and Stormwater 2-19
A. Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permits 2-19
B. Septic System and Other On-Site Wastewater Areas 2-24
C. Drinking Water Supply and Distribution 2-25
D. MS4 Program 2-25

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

Page No.
or Following

2.05 Regulatory Status of Waterways 2-26
A. Designated Uses 2-26
B. Impairment Status 2-28
C. Special Use Waters 2-28
D. TMDL Reports 2-28
2.06 Existing Relevant Programs 2-28
A. Oldham County Sewer District (now OCEA) 2-28
B. Oldham County Storm Water Management District (now OCEA) ... 2-30
C. La Grange Utilities Commission (LUC) 2-31
D. Oldham County Water District (OCWD) 2-32
E. Oldham County Cooperative Extension Office 2-33
F. Oldham County Planning and Development Services (OCPDS) 2-34
G. Oldham County Health Department (OCHD) 2-35
H. Oldham County Solid Waste and Recycling Department (Solid Waste Department) 2-36
I. Oldham County Conservation District (Conservation District) 2-37
J. Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) 2-37
K. Oldham County Board of Education (OCBE) 2-39
L. University of Louisville (UL) Stream Institute 2-40
M. Kentucky Division of Water (KDOW) 2-41
N. United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 2-42
O. Source Water Protection Plans 2-43
P. Wellhead Protection Areas 2-43
Q. Past and Current Watershed Plans 2-44

SECTION 3–WATER QUALITY SAMPLING

3.01 Water Quality Sampling 3-1
3.02 Physicochemical Sampling Data 3-2
A. Data Sources 3-2
B. Normal vs. Rain Influenced Events 3-3
3.03 Bacteria Data 3-3
A. Primary Data Sources 3-4
3.04 Geomorphologic Data 3-4
3.05 Biological and Physical Habitat Data 3-5
A. Data Sources 3-5

SECTION 4–WATER QUALITY DATA ANALYSIS

4.01 Data Collection and Analysis Challenges 4-1
A. Water Quality Data Use 4-1
B. Project Challenges 4-1
4.02 Data Analysis Team Approach 4-3
4.03 Water Quality Benchmarks and Targets 4-4
4.04 Pollutants of Concern 4-6
4.05 Box-Plot and Load Duration Curve Analyses 4-6
4.06 Bacteria Data Analysis 4-9
A. Sampling and Assessment Results Analysis 4-9
4.07 Bacteria Priority Areas 4-12
A. North Curry's Fork 4-13
B. South Curry's Fork 4-13

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

Page No.
or Following

C. Asher's Run 4-13
D. Curry's Fork Main Stem 4-14
4.08 Bacteria Potential Pollutant Sources 4-14
A. North Curry's Fork 4-14
B. South Curry's Fork 4-15
C. Asher's Run 4-15
D. Curry's Fork Main Stem 4-16
4.09 Nutrient Data Analysis 4-17
4.10 Nutrient Summary 4-19
4.11 Nutrient Potential Pollutant Sources 4-20
4.12 Dissolved Oxygen Data Results 4-20
4.13 Dissolved Oxygen Potential Pollutant Sources 4-21
4.14 Sediment/Siltation and Geomorphic Assessment Results 4-23
A. Fine Sediment Yield 4-23
B. Sediment Production 4-24
C. Geomorphic Assessment 4-28
D. General Habitat Findings 4-31
4.15 Sediment/Siltation and Geomorphic Summary 4-32
4.16 Biological and Physical Habitat Metrics Analysis 4-32
A. North Curry's Fork 4-36
B. South Curry's Fork 4-37
C. Asher's Run 4-37
D. Curry's Fork Main Stem 4-38
4.17 Biological and Physical Habitat Priority Areas 4-38
4.18 Subwatershed Summaries 4-39

SECTION 5–WATERSHED GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

5.01 Goals Selection Process 5-1
5.02 Watershed Goals 5-3
5.03 Best Management Practices 5-4
5.04 Best Management Practice Implementation Coordination 5-24
A. Sewage Discharge Eliminations and Changes BMPs 5-24
B. On-Site Wastewater Systems' BMPs 5-24
C. Watershed Education, Participation, Coordination, and Marketing BMPs 5-24
D. Stormwater BMPs 5-25
E. Restoration and Protection Project BMPs 5-25
F. Water Quality Sampling BMPs 5-25
G. Agriculture BMPs 5-25
H. Streamside BMPs 5-25

SECTION 6–KEY COMPONENTS OF WATERSHED PLAN SUCCESS

6.01 Watershed Plan Implementation Evaluation 6-1
6.02 Organization 6-1
6.03 Marketing the Watershed Plan 6-2
6.04 Funding for Implementation 6-2
6.05 Monitoring Plan 6-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

Page No.
or Following

6.06 Evaluation Framework 6-3
A. Implementation 6-3
B. Outcome Indicators 6-3
C. Outreach 6-4
D. Adaptive Management 6-4

TABLES

(Tables too large for incorporation into the text are presented on the page following the first notation of that table in the text.)

ES-1 Curry's Fork 303(d) 2008 Listing ES-2
ES-2 Curry's Fork 305(b) 2010 Report Listing ES-2
ES-3 Nutrient Data Summary ES-4
ES-4 Dissolved Oxygen Data Summary ES-4
ES-5 Biological and Habitat Assessment Summary ES-5
ES-6 Fluvial Geomorphic Assessment Summary ES-5
ES-7 Pathogen Data Summary ES-6
ES-8 Tier 1 Watershed Plan Solutions ES-8
ES-9 Tier 2 Watershed Plan Solutions ES-9
ES-10 Tier 3 Watershed Plan Solutions ES-10

1.01-1 Curry's Fork 303(d) 2008 Listing 1-1
1.01-2 Curry's Fork 305(b) 2010 Report Listing 1-2

2.01-1 Subwatershed Areas 2-1
2.01-2 Annual Precipitation 2-2
2.01-3 Monthly Typical Precipitation 2-2
2.01-4 Typical Temperatures (Shelbyville Weather Station) 2-2
2.01-5 National Resource Conservation Service Soils 2-6
2.02-1 2001 Watershed Land Use and Land Cover 2-7
2.02-2 Curry's Fork Zoning 2-9
2.02-3 2007 Oldham County Livestock Estimates 2-11
2.02-4 Threatened and/or Endangered Species in Oldham County 2-12
2.02-5 Curry's Fork Impervious Cover 2-13
2.03-1 Oldham County Census Data 2010 2-18
2.04-1 KPDES Sites and Facilities 2-20
2.04-2 KPDES Exceedance Summary 2-22

3.01-1 Curry's Fork WP Sampling Sites 3-1
3.02-1 Physicochemical Data Summary 3-2
3.04-1 Portable Sampler Event Summary 3-4

4.03-1 Habitat and Biological Assessment Metrics 4-6
4.06-1 Curry's Fork Bacteria Data Summary 4-9
4.08-1 North Curry's Potential Pollutant Sources 4-14
4.08-2 South Curry's Potential Pollutant Sources 4-15
4.08-3 Asher's Run Potential Pollutant Sources 4-16
4.08-4 Curry's Fork Main Stem Potential Pollutant Sources 4-16
4.09-1 Curry's Fork Phosphorus Data Summary 4-18
4.09-2 Curry's Fork Total Nitrogen Data Summary 4-18

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

Page No.
or Following

TABLES (Continued)

4.11-1	North Curry's Fork Potential Nutrient Sources	4-20
4.12-1	Curry's Fork DO Data Summary	4-21
4.14-1	Curry's Fork Sediment Yield	4-24
4.14-2	Sediment Produced by Bank Erosion	4-24
4.14-3	Pond Survey Results	4-26
4.14-4	GeoWEPP Output	4-27
4.16-1	Physical Habitat Assessment Results	4-33
4.16-2	Macroinvertebrate Core Metric Results	4-33
4.16-3	Fish Core Metric Results	4-34
4.16-4	Biological and Physical Habitat Data Summary	4-34
4.16-5	Percent Functional Feeding Groups	4-35
4.16-6	2003 Kentucky Division of Water Mussel Survey Results	4-36
4.18-1	Bacteria Priority Area Subwatershed Summary	4-39
4.18-2	Nutrient Subwatershed Summary	4-40
4.18-3	Biological and Physical Habitat Subwatershed Summary	4-40
4.18-4	Geomorphology Subwatershed Results Summary	4-40
5.02-1	Fecal Coliform Loads and Load Reduction Targets	5-3
5.02-2	Nitrogen Loads and Load Reduction Targets	5-4
5.03-1	Tier 1 Best Management Practices	5-10
5.03-2	Tier 2 Best Management Practices	5-11
5.03-3	Tier 3 Best Management Practices	5-12
5.03-4	Tier 1 Best Management Practices Milestones	5-13
5.03-5	Tier 2 Best Management Practices Milestones	5-18
5.03-6	Tier 3 Best Management Practices Milestones	5-21

FIGURES

(Figures too large for incorporation into the text are presented on the page following the first notation of that figure in the text.)

ES-1	Curry's Fork Watershed	ES-3
ES-2	Pathogen Priority Areas	ES-5
1.01-1	Curry's Fork 303(d) and 305(b) Stream Segment Locations	1-1
2.01-1	Curry's Fork Location	2-1
2.01-2	Curry's Fork Subwatersheds	2-1
2.01-3	Stream Reaches Affected by Channel Straightening	2-3
2.01-4	Curry's Fork Karst Potential	2-4
2.01-5	Curry's Fork 100 Year Floodplains	2-4
2.01-6	Flood Prone Subdivisions	2-4
2.01-7	Curry's Fork Wetlands	2-5
2.01-8	Curry's Fork Digital Elevation Model	2-5
2.01-9	Curry's Fork Geologic Quadrangles	2-5
2.01-10	Curry's Fork Soil Hydrologic Group	2-6
2.02-1	2001 Curry's Fork Land Use	2-7
2.02-2	Riparian Corridor Width	2-8
2.02-3	Curry's Fork Zoning	2-8
2.02-4	Curry's Fork Subdivisions	2-10

TABLE OF CONTENTS Continued

Page No.
or Following

FIGURES (Continued)

2.02-5	Curry's Fork Transportation	2-10
2.02-6	Curry's Fork Impervious Areas	2-13
2.03-1	Oldham County Historical Population Trends: Years 1840 to 2009	2-16
2.04-1	Curry's Fork KPDES Permitted Facilities	2-19
2.04-2	Preliminary Plan for Future Decommissioning and Regionalization	2-23
2.04-3	Sewer Service and On-Site Wastewater System Areas	2-24
2.04-4	Curry's Fork Soils Septic Suitability	2-24
2.04-5	Curry's Fork Soils Lagoon Suitability	2-25
2.04-6	Curry's Fork MS4 Areas	2-25
2.06-1	La Grange Utility Commission Water and Sewer Lines	2-31
2.06-2	Oldham County Water District Water Infrastructure	2-32
2.06-3	Oldham County Board of Education School Locations	2-39
3.02-1	Primary Bacteria and Physicochemical Sampling Sites	3-2
3.05-1	Biological and Habitat Sampling Sites	3-5
4.02-1	Data Collection and Dissemination Process	4-4
4.05-1	Example Box Plot	4-7
4.06-1	Curry's Fork Fecal Coliform Box Plots	4-10
4.06-2	NC1 Fecal Coliform Load Duration Curve	4-10
4.06-3	SC1 Fecal Coliform Load Duration Curve	4-11
4.06-4	AR1 Fecal Coliform Load Duration Curve	4-11
4.06-5	CF2 Fecal Coliform Load Duration Curve	4-12
4.07-1	Pathogen Priority Areas	4-13
4.09-1	Curry's Fork Phosphorus Box Plots	4-18
4.09-2	Curry's Fork Nitrogen Box Plots	4-19
4.13-1	SC1 DO Measurement vs. Sample Time	4-22
4.13-2	SC2 DO Measurement vs. Sample Time	4-22
4.14-1	University of Louisville Pond Survey Locations	4-26
4.14-2	GeoWEPP Model Results	4-26
5.01-1	Community Roundtable Response: How and why is the Curry's Fork watershed important to you?	5-1
5.01-2	Community Roundtable Response: What are the problems in Curry's Fork watershed?	5-2
5.01-3	Community Roundtable Response: What are your goals for the Curry's Fork watershed?	5-2

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A–SEPTEMBER 24, 2009 ROUNDTABLE SUMMARY
APPENDIX B–JULY 15, 2010 ROUNDTABLE SUMMARY
APPENDIX C–FEBRUARY 2, 2011 ROUNDTABLE SUMMARY
APPENDIX D–CURRY'S FORK WATER QUALITY DATA REPORT
APPENDIX E–OLDHAM COUNTY ZONING AND SETBACKS
APPENDIX F–ADDITIONAL SOLUTIONS LIST